

## **REMARKS**

Figs. 1, 2 and 4 are amended to add reference number 220 to designate the oblong slide slot in the front end of the pull handle, and the amended drawings are attached with the response.

Claims 1-3 and 5-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102 (e) as being anticipated by Lumpkin (US 2003/0121739) and claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 (a) as being unpatentable over Lumpkin (US 2003/0121739) in view of Lammers (6658844). Moreover, claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 (a) as being unpatentable over (US 2003/0121739).

However, the Examiner has pointed out that claims 8-9 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Responsive to this, claim 8 is deleted and claim 1 is amended which is substantially the combination of original claims 1 and 8 so as to make the claimed invention more distinguishably patentable over the prior arts cited by the Examiner. It is believed that, the amended claim 1 has disclosed a structure whose construction and function are quite different from and patentably distinguishable over the cited prior arts. Therefore, it is believed that, the rejections under 35 U.S.C. 102 (e) and 103 (a) should be removed, and the amended claim 1 should be allowable.

It is further submitted the amended claims 2-7 and 9-10 should be allowable as they are dependent upon the amended claim 1 which is believed to be allowable.

In view of the foregoing amendments and remarks, Applicant submits that the application is now in a condition for allowance and such action is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

CHUN TE WEN

*Chun Te Wen*

**AMENDMENT TO THE DRAWINGS**

Please amend Figs. 1, 2 and 4 as attached with the response.